



2015 CONSUMER SCORECARD FOR STATE LAWMAKERS

Vote tally as of September 11, 2015

Assembly Member	Party	Total Right	Total Wrong	AB 282 6/3 Floor	AB 287 6/2 Floor	AB 312 5/22 Floor	AB 465 8/27 Floor	AB 601 9/3 Floor	AB 886 4/20 U. & C.	AB 925 5/5 Public Safety	SB 3 7/8 Lab. & Emp.	SB 501 9/9 Floor	SB 633 7/16 Floor	SB 660 9/10 Floor	SB 763 7/7 B. & P.	SB 763 7/14 E.S. & T.M.	2015 Score	Lifetime Score
Achadjian	REP	3	6	W	W	W	W	R	W	--	--	R	W	R	--	--	33%	30%
Alejo	DEM	6	2	R	W	--	R	R	--	--	--	R	W	R	--	R	75%	89%
Allen, T.	REP	2	6	W	W	W	W	R	--	--	--	W	W	R	--	--	25%	30%
Atkins	DEM	6	2	R	W	R*	R	R	--	--	--	R	W	R	--	--	75%	89%
Baker	REP	4	5	W	W	W	W	R	--	--	--	R	W	R	R	--	44%	44%
Bigelow	REP	2	6	W	W	W	W	R	--	--	--	W	W	R	--	--	25%	27%
Bloom	DEM	7	2	R	W	R*	R	R	--	--	--	R	W	R	R	--	78%	88%
Bonilla	DEM	6	4	R	W	W	R	R	W*	--	--	R	W	R	R	--	60%	79%
Bonta	DEM	6	2	R	R*	W	R	R	--	--	--	R	W	R	--	--	75%	83%
Brough	REP	2	6	W	W	W	W	R	--	--	--	W	W	R	--	--	25%	25%
Brown	DEM	5	3	R	W	R*	W*	R	--	--	--	R	W	R	--	--	63%	74%
Burke	DEM	6	3	R	W	R	R	R	W	--	--	R	W	R	--	--	67%	67%
Calderon	DEM	5	3	R	W	W	R	R	--	--	--	R	W	R	--	--	63%	76%
Campos	DEM	7	2	R	W	R	R	R	--	--	--	R	W	R	R	--	78%	89%
Chang	REP	4	5	W	W	W	W	R	--	--	--	R	W	R	R	--	44%	44%
Chau	DEM	6	3	R	W	W	R	R	R**	--	--	R	W	R	--	--	67%	84%
Chávez	REP	3	5	W	R*	W	W	R	--	--	--	W*	W	R	--	--	38%	30%
Chiu	DEM	6	2	R	W	R	R	R	--	--	--	R	W	R	--	--	75%	75%
Chu	DEM	6	3	R	W	W	R	R	--	--	R	R	W	R	--	--	67%	67%
Cooley	DEM	4	4	R	W	W	W	R	--	--	--	R	W	R	--	--	50%	72%
Cooper	DEM	6	2	R	W	R	R	R	--	--	--	R	W	R	--	--	75%	75%
Dababneh	DEM	5	3	R	W	W	R	R	--	--	--	R	W	R	--	--	63%	76%
Dahle	REP	3	7	W	W	W	W	R	W	--	--	W	W	R	--	R	30%	32%
Daly	DEM	5	3	R	W	W	R	R	--	--	--	R	W	R	--	--	63%	65%
Dodd	DEM	6	3	R	W	W	R	R	--	--	--	R	W	R	R	--	67%	67%
Eggman	DEM	7	3	R	W	R*	R	R	W*	--	--	R	W	R	R	--	70%	85%

Assembly Member	Party	Total Right	Total Wrong	AB 282 6/3 Floor	AB 287 6/2 Floor	AB 312 5/22 Floor	AB 465 8/27 Floor	AB 601 9/3 Floor	AB 886 4/20 U. & C.	AB 925 5/5 Public Safety	SB 3 7/8 Lab. & Emp.	SB 501 9/9 Floor	SB 633 7/16 Floor	SB 660 9/10 Floor	SB 763 7/7 B. & P.	SB 763 7/14 E.S. & T.M.	2015 Score	Lifetime Score
Frazier	DEM	4	3	R	W	W	--	R	--	--	--	R	W	R	--	--	57%	65%
Gaines, B.	REP	2	6	W	W	W	W	R	--	--	--	W	W	R	--	--	25%	21%
Gallagher	REP	4	5	W	W	W	W	R	--	--	--	R	W	R	--	R	44%	44%
Garcia, C.	DEM	6	3	R	W	R*	R	R	W*	--	--	R	W	R	--	--	67%	81%
Garcia, E.	DEM	5	3	R	W	W	R	R	--	--	--	R	W	R	--	--	63%	63%
Gatto	DEM	7	2	R	W	R	R	R	--	--	--	R	W	R	R	--	78%	86%
Gipson	DEM	6	2	R	W	R*	R	R	--	--	--	R	W	R	--	--	75%	75%
Gomez	DEM	6	2	R	W	R*	R	R	--	--	--	R	W	R	--	--	75%	78%
Gonzalez	DEM	8	1	R	W	R	R	R	--	--	--	R	R*	R	--	R	89%	92%
Gordon	DEM	5	3	R	W	W	R	R	--	W	--	R	--	R	--	--	63%	84%
Gray	DEM	6	3	R	W	R	W	R	--	--	--	R	W	R	--	R	67%	65%
Grove	REP	3	5	W	R*	W	W	R	--	--	--	W	W	R	--	--	38%	18%
Hadley	REP	3	6	W	W	W	W	R	W	--	--	R	W	R	--	--	33%	33%
Harper	REP	2	7	W	W	W	W	R	--	--	W	W	W	R	--	--	22%	22%
Hernández	DEM	7	3	R	W	W	R	R	R	--	R	R	W	R	--	--	70%	83%
Holden	DEM	6	3	R	W	W	R	R	--	--	--	R	W	R	R	--	67%	83%
Irwin	DEM	5	3	R	W	R	W	R	--	--	--	R	W	R	--	--	63%	63%
Jones	REP	2	8	W	W	W	W	R	W	--	--	W	W	R	W*	--	20%	14%
Jones-Sawyer	DEM	5	4	R	W	W	R	R	--	W	--	R	W	R	--	--	56%	77%
Kim	REP	2	6	W	W	W	W	R	--	--	--	W	W	R	--	--	25%	25%
Lackey	REP	4	5	W	W	W	W	R	--	R	--	R	W	R	--	--	44%	44%
Levine	DEM	5	3	R	W	W	R	R	--	--	--	R	W	R	--	--	63%	72%
Linder	REP	3	5	W	W	W	W	R	--	--	--	R	W	R	--	--	38%	33%
López	DEM	6	2	R	W	R	R	R	--	--	--	R	W	R	--	--	75%	75%
Low	DEM	7	3	R	W	R	R	R	--	W	R	R	W	R	--	--	70%	70%
Maienschein	REP	4	4	R	W	W	W	R	--	--	--	R	W	R	--	--	50%	48%
Mathis	REP	2	6	W	W	W	W	R	--	--	--	W	W	R	--	--	25%	25%
Mayes	REP	3	5	W	W	W	W	R	--	--	--	R	W	R	--	--	38%	38%
McCarty	DEM	7	3	R	W	W	R	R	--	--	R	R	W	R	--	R	70%	74%
Medina	DEM	6	2	R	W	R*	R	R	--	--	--	R	W	R	--	--	75%	76%
Melendez	REP	3	6	W	W	W	W	R	--	R	--	W	W	R	--	--	33%	33%
Mullin	DEM	6	3	R	W	W	R	R	--	--	--	R	W	R	R	--	67%	79%
Nazarian	DEM	5	3	R	W	W	R	R	--	--	--	R	W	R	--	--	63%	83%
Obornolte	REP	2	6	W	W	W	W	R	--	--	--	W	W	R	--	--	25%	25%

Assembly Member	Party	Total Right	Total Wrong	AB 282 6/3 Floor	AB 287 6/2 Floor	AB 312 5/22 Floor	AB 465 8/27 Floor	AB 601 9/3 Floor	AB 886 4/20 U. & C.	AB 925 5/5 Public Safety	SB 3 7/8 Lab. & Emp.	SB 501 9/9 Floor	SB 633 7/16 Floor	SB 660 9/10 Floor	SB 763 7/7 B. & P.	SB 763 7/14 E.S. & T.M.	2015 Score	Lifetime Score
O'Donnell	DEM	5	2	R	W	--	R	R	--	--	--	R	W	R	--	--	71%	71%
Olsen	REP	2	5	W	W	--	W	R	--	--	--	W	W	R	--	--	29%	17%
Patterson	REP	1	9	W	W	W	W	W*	W	--	W	W	W	R	--	--	10%	21%
Perea	DEM	4	4	R	W	W	R	W*	--	--	--	R	W	R	--	--	50%	60%
Quirk	DEM	5	5	R	W	W	R	R	W*	W	--	R	W	R	--	--	50%	69%
Rendon	DEM	8	1	R	W	R	R	R	R	--	--	R	R*	R	--	--	89%	88%
Ridley-Thomas	DEM	3	5	W*	W	W	R	R	--	--	--	W*	W	R	--	--	38%	41%
Rodriguez	DEM	6	2	R	W	R*	R	R	--	--	--	R	W	R	--	--	75%	88%
Salas	DEM	5	3	R	W	R	R	R	--	--	--	W	W	R	--	--	63%	72%
Santiago	DEM	7	3	R	W	R*	R	R	R	W	--	R	W	R	--	--	70%	70%
Steinorth	REP	3	5	W	W	W	W	R	--	--	--	R	W	R	--	--	38%	38%
Stone, M.	DEM	6	2	R	W	R	R	R	--	--	--	R	W	R	--	--	75%	88%
Thurmond	DEM	5	4	W*	W	W	R	R	--	--	R	R	W	R	--	--	56%	56%
Ting	DEM	8	3	R	W	R	R	R	W*	--	--	R	W	R	R	R	73%	85%
Wagner	REP	3	5	W	W	W	W	R	--	--	--	R	W	R	--	--	38%	18%
Waldron	REP	2	5	W	W	--	W	R	--	--	--	W	W	R	--	--	29%	29%
Weber	DEM	5	2	R	W	--	R	R	--	--	--	R	W	R	--	--	71%	78%
Wilk	REP	3	6	W	W	W	W	R	--	--	--	R	W	R	W*	--	33%	32%
Williams	DEM	8	1	R	R*	R	R	R	R	--	--	R	W	R	--	--	89%	93%
Wood	DEM	6	3	R	W	R*	W*	R	--	--	--	R	W	R	R	--	67%	67%

Legend: R = Right, voted for consumers
R* = Present and did not vote or unexcused absence, same as voting in favor of consumers
R** = Author of CFC supported or sponsored bill but did not get a chance to vote, scored as a vote for consumers
W = Wrong, voted against consumers
W* = Present and did not vote or unexcused absence, same as voting against consumers
W** = Author of CFC opposed bill but did not get a chance to vote, scored as a vote against consumers
-- = Excused absence, not a member of committee, or not a member of the Legislature at the time the vote was cast

Senator	Party	Total Right	Total Wrong	AB 465 8/24 Floor	AB 601 9/2 Floor	SB 3 6/1 Floor	SB 501 9/10 Floor	SB 633 8/17 Floor	SB 648 4/15 Health	SB 648 4/28 Judiciary	SB 660 9/11 Floor	SB 763 6/3 Floor	2015 Score	Lifetime Score
Allen, B.	DEM	6	1	R	R	R	R	W	--	--	R	R	86%	86%
Anderson	REP	2	6	W	R	W	W	W	--	W	R	W	25%	19%
Bates	REP	2	5	W	R	W	W	W	--	--	R	W	29%	29%
Beall	DEM	5	2	R	W*	R	R	W	--	--	R	R	71%	93%
Berryhill	REP	2	5	W	R	W	W	W	--	--	R	W	29%	16%
Block	DEM	6	1	R	R	R	R	W	--	--	R	R	86%	92%
Cannella	REP	4	3	W	R	W	R	W	--	--	R	R	57%	33%
de León	DEM	6	1	R	R	R	R	W	--	--	R	R	86%	93%
Fuller	REP	2	5	W	R	W	W	W	--	--	R	W	29%	17%
Gaines, T.	REP	3	3	W	R	W	W	--	--	--	R	R	50%	22%
Galgiani	DEM	4	3	W*	R	W*	R	W	--	--	R	R	57%	57%
Glazer	DEM	4	3	W	R	W*	R	W	--	--	R	R	57%	57%
Hall	DEM	7	1	R	R	R	R	W	R	--	R	R	88%	73%
Hancock	DEM	6	1	R	R	R	R	W	--	--	R	R	86%	98%
Hernandez	DEM	7	1	R	R	R	R	W	R	--	R	R	88%	77%
Hertzberg	DEM	7	1	R	R	R	R	W	--	R	R	R	88%	88%
Hill	DEM	6	1	R	R	R	R	W	--	--	R	R	86%	86%
Hueso	DEM	6	1	R	R	R	R	W	--	--	R	R	86%	81%
Huff	REP	2	5	W	R	W	W	W	--	--	R	W	29%	16%
Jackson	DEM	7	1	R	R	R	R	W	--	R	R	R	88%	99%
Lara	DEM	5	2	R	R	R	W*	W	--	--	R	R	71%	85%
Leno	DEM	7	1	R	R	R	R	W	--	R	R	R	88%	99%
Leyva	DEM	6	1	R	R	R	R	W	--	--	R	R	86%	86%
Liu	DEM	6	1	R	R	R	R	W	--	--	R	R	86%	94%
McGuire	DEM	6	1	R	R	R	R	W	--	--	R	R	86%	86%
Mendoza	DEM	8	0	R	R	R	R	R*	R**	--	R	R	100%	86%
Mitchell	DEM	7	1	R	R	R	R	W	R	--	R	R	88%	88%
Monning	DEM	8	1	R	R	R	R	W	R	R	R	R	89%	96%
Moorlach	REP	2	5	W	R	W	W	W	--	--	R	W	29%	29%
Morrell	REP	2	5	W	R	W	W	W	--	--	R	W	29%	16%
Nguyen	REP	4	4	W	R	W	R	W	W	--	R	R	50%	50%
Nielsen	REP	2	6	W	R	W	W*	W	W	--	R	W	25%	20%
Pan	DEM	7	1	R	R	R	R	W	R	--	R	R	88%	87%
Pavley	DEM	6	1	R	R	R	R	W	--	--	R	R	86%	96%
Roth	DEM	5	3	W*	R	W	R	W	R	--	R	R	63%	75%
Runner	REP	2	4	W	R	W	W	--	--	--	R	W	33%	12%
Stone, J.	REP	2	5	W	R	W	W	W	--	--	R	W	29%	29%

Senator	Party	Total Right	Total Wrong	AB 465 8/24 Floor	AB 601 9/2 Floor	SB 3 6/1 Floor	SB 501 9/10 Floor	SB 633 8/17 Floor	SB 648 4/15 Health	SB 648 4/28 Judiciary	SB 660 9/11 Floor	SB 763 6/3 Floor	2015 Score	Lifetime Score
Vidak	REP	3	4	W	R	W	W	W	--	--	R	R	43%	33%
Wieckowski	DEM	7	1	R	R	R	R	W	--	R	R	R	88%	92%
Wolk	DEM	6	2	W*	R	R	R	W	R	--	R	R	75%	90%

Bill # (Author) - CFC Position

Summary & Status as of 10/12/15

AB 282 (Eggman) - SUPPORT	Would have prohibited the sale of dangerous corded window coverings in California and prohibited their use in child day care centers. <i>Held by author in Senate Business, Professions and Economic Development Committee</i>
AB 287 (Gordon) - OPPOSE	Would have immunized auto dealers who sold unrepaired used automobiles under open safety recalls. <i>Held by author before Senate Transportation and Housing Committee</i>
AB 312 (Jones) - OPPOSE	Would have weakened California's truth in advertising laws by allowing companies to use foreign components in products that bear a "Made in the USA" label. <i>Held by author in Senate Judiciary Committee</i>
AB 465 (Hernández) - SUPPORT	Would have made mandatory binding arbitration clauses within most personal employment contracts unenforceable if accepting the clause was a condition of employment. <i>Vetoed</i>
AB 601 (Eggman) - SUPPORT	Would have required the disclosure of ownership and license information of residential care facilities for the elderly so that regulators can cross check that information with other sources to guard against elder abuse and neglect. <i>Failed passage in Senate Appropriations Committee</i>
AB 886 (Chau) - SPONSOR	Would have prohibited transportation network companies such as Uber and Lyft from collecting personal passenger information unless it was necessary for the company to provide their service. <i>Failed passage in Assembly Utilities and Commerce Committee</i>
AB 925 (Low) - OPPOSE	Would have allowed businesses to secretly record phone calls with customers. <i>Failed passage in Assembly Appropriations Committee</i>
SB 3 (Leno) - SUPPORT	Would have increased the state minimum wage to \$11 an hour on January 1, 2016, and to \$13 an hour on July 1, 2017. <i>Held in Assembly Appropriations</i>
SB 501 (Wieckowski) - SUPPORT	Limits the percentage of a low or moderate income employee's paycheck that can be garnished so as to allow employee's family sufficient income to meet basic needs. <i>Chaptered</i>
SB 633 (Hill) - OPPOSE	Similar to AB 312 (Jones), this bill weakens California's truth in advertising laws by allowing companies to use foreign components in products that bear a "Made in the USA" label. <i>Chaptered</i>
SB 648 (Mendoza) - SPONSOR	Would have required agencies making referrals to elder care facilities to obtain a license, disclose fee arrangements, and protect consumer information and privacy. <i>Failed passage through Senate Appropriations Committee</i>
SB 660 (Leno) - SUPPORT	Would have eliminated the extraordinary power of the California Public Utilities Commission President and imposed restrictions on private meetings between utilities and regulators. <i>Vetoed</i>
SB 763 (Leno) - CO-SPONSOR	Would have required a label stating whether or not home furnishing products for infants and toddlers contain toxic flame retardant chemicals. <i>Held by author in Assembly Appropriations</i>