



520 S. El Camino Real, Suite 340 • San Mateo, CA 94402 • (650) 375-7840 • www.consumercal.org

December 2010

The 2010 Consumer Federation of California Scorecard for State Lawmakers rates legislators on their votes cast on key consumer rights bills. State Assembly members and Senators were evaluated on a number of issues, including financial privacy protection, health care reform, drug safety, household toxics, false advertising, insurance rate regulation, and real estate lending.

Lawmakers are graded on legislative proposals that the CFC sponsored, supported or opposed. We assessed final substantive floor votes. Committee votes were included in cases where important consumer bills died in committee.

Scores are based on the percentage of votes in which the lawmaker sided with consumers. A favorable vote from the consumer's standpoint is represented with an "R" (Right). A vote against consumers is noted with a "W" (Wrong). If a lawmaker was present and did not vote or abstained, the failure to vote is scored based on the effect that the non-vote had on the forward movement of the bill, and is designated with an asterisk (*) after the "R" or "W". Failure to vote on a pro-consumer bill is scored as a vote against consumers (W*), and failure to vote on an anti-consumer bill is scored as a vote for consumers (R*). A dash (--) specifies either that a lawmaker was not a member of the committee being evaluated, or that the lawmaker had an excused leave of absence from the legislature for the day of a vote.

The scorecard does not evaluate the Governor's record on consumer protection legislation. Many pro-consumer bills are killed in the legislature. Grading the Governor on the bills that reach his desk would not provide a valid comparison to the scores assigned to legislators.

We have included 2005 – 2010 cumulative scores. These scores are based on the annual CFC scorecards issued each year. Percentages represent the total number of right and wrong votes cast by the lawmaker during his or her tenure in the legislature from 2005 through 2010.



2010 CONSUMER SCORECARD FOR STATE LAWMAKERS

Assembly Member	Party	2010 Percent	AB 482 8/31 Asm Floor	AB 2393 8/31 Asm Floor	AB 2578 6/2 Asm Floor	SB 657 8/26 Asm Floor	SB 797 7/1 Asm Floor	SB 810 6/29 Asm Health	SB 810 8/12 Asm Appr.	SB 933 8/12 Asm Floor	SB 1106 6/22 Asm Health	SB 1106 6/29 Asm. B., P., & C.P.	SB 1106 8/4 Asm Appr.	SB 1166 8/16 Asm Floor	SB 1268 8/23 Asm. Floor	SB 1275 8/30 Asm Floor	Cumulative Percent (2005-2010)
Adams	REP.	0%	W	W	W	W	W	--	--	W	--	--	--	W	W	W	14%
Ammiano	DEM.	100%	R	R	R	R	R	R	--	R	R	--	--	R	R	R	100%
Anderson	REP.	11%	W	W	W	W	W	--	--	R	--	--	--	W	W	W	19%
Arambula	IND.	89%	R	R	W*	R	R	--	--	R	--	--	--	R	R	R	83%
Bass	DEM.	88%	R	R	R	R	R	--	--	W*	--	--	--	--	R	R	94%
Beall	DEM.	100%	R	R	R	R	R	--	--	R	--	--	--	R	R	R	96%
Bill Berryhill	REP.	0%	W	W	W	W	W	--	--	W	--	--	--	W	W	W	13%
Tom Berryhill	REP.	0%	W	W	--	W	W	--	--	W	--	--	--	W	W	W	17%
Blakeslee	REP.	10% ⁱ	--	--	W	--	W	--	--	W	--	--	--	--	--	--	21%
Block	DEM.	67%	W*	R	W*	R	R	--	--	R	--	--	--	R	R	W*	75%
Blumenfield	DEM.	89%	R	R	R	R	R	--	--	R	--	--	--	R	R	W*	92%
Bradford	DEM.	91%	R	R	R	R	R	--	R	R	--	--	R	R	R	W*	91%
Brownley	DEM.	100%	R	R	R	R	R	--	--	R	--	--	--	R	R	R	98%
Buchanan	DEM.	67%	R	R	W	W*	R	--	--	R	--	--	--	R	R	W	76%
Caballero	DEM.	67%	R	R	R	W*	W	--	--	W	--	--	--	R	R	R	83%
Charles Calderon	DEM.	67%	R	W*	W*	W*	R	--	--	R	--	--	R	--	R	R	70%
Carter	DEM.	100%	R	R	R	R	R	R	--	R	R	--	--	R	R	R	90%
Chesbro	DEM.	89%	W*	R	R	R	R	--	--	R	--	--	--	R	R	R	96%
Conway	REP.	7%	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	R	W	9%
Cook	REP.	0%	W	W	W	W	W	--	--	W*	--	--	--	W	W*	W	15%
Coto	DEM.	100%	R	R	R	R	R	--	R	R	--	--	R	R	R	R	92%
Davis	DEM.	80%	R	R	R	W*	R	--	R	R	--	--	R	--	W*	R	80%
De La Torre	DEM.	73%	R	R	R	R	W*	R	--	R	W*	--	--	R	R	W*	88%
De Leon	DEM.	92%	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	--	R	R	R	W*	93%
DeVore	REP.	0%	W	W	W	W	W	--	--	W	--	--	--	W	W	W	10%
Emmerson	REP.	22% ⁱⁱ	--	--	W	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	17%
Eng	DEM.	100%	R	R	R	R	R	R	--	R	R	R	--	R	R	R	98%

ⁱ This percentage represents the cumulative percentage of Assembly and Senate votes this session.

ⁱⁱ This percentage represents the cumulative percentage of Assembly and Senate votes this session.

Assembly Member	Party	2010 Percent	AB 482 8/31 Asm Floor	AB 2393 8/31 Asm Floor	AB 2578 6/2 Asm Floor	SB 657 8/26 Asm Floor	SB 797 7/1 Asm Floor	SB 810 6/29 Asm Health	SB 810 8/12 Asm Appr.	SB 933 8/12 Asm Floor	SB 1106 6/22 Asm Health	SB 1106 6/29 Asm. B., P., & C.P.	SB 1106 8/4 Asm Appr.	SB 1166 8/16 Asm Floor	SB 1268 8/23 Asm. Floor	SB 1275 8/30 Asm Floor	Cumulative Percent (2005-2010)
Evans	DEM.	100%	R	R	R	R	R	--	--	R	--	--	--	R	R	R	96%
Feuer	DEM.	100%	R	R	R	R	R	--	--	R	--	--	--	R	R	R	100%
Fletcher	REP.	18%	W	W	W	W*	W*	W	--	W	W	--	--	R	R	W	19%
Fong	DEM.	100%	R	R	R	R	R	--	--	R	--	--	--	R	R	R	96%
Fuentes	DEM.	73%	R	R	R	R	W	--	R	R	--	--	R	R	W*	W	78%
Fuller	REP.	0%	W	W	W	W	W	--	--	W*	--	--	--	W	W	W	15%
Furutani	DEM.	88%	R	R	R	R	R	--	--	R	--	--	--	R	--	W*	83%
Gaines	REP.	0%	W	W	W	W	W	W	--	W*	W	--	--	W	W	W	15%
Galgiani	DEM.	44%	W	R	W*	R	W*	--	--	W*	--	--	--	R	R	W*	52%
Garrick	REP.	0%	W	W	W	W	W	--	--	W	--	--	--	W	W*	W	11%
Gatto	DEM.	80%	W	R	--	R	R	--	R	R	--	--	R	R	R	W	80%
Gilmore	REP.	10%	W	W	W	W*	W	--	--	W	W	--	--	W	R	W	16%
Hagman	REP.	0%	W	W	W	W	W	--	--	W	--	--	--	W	W*	W	8%
Hall	DEM.	73%	R	R	W*	R	R	--	R	W*	--	--	R	R	R	W*	67%
Harkey	REP.	0%	W	W	W	W	W	--	W	W	--	--	W	W	W	W	7%
Hayashi	DEM.	100%	R	R	R	R	R	R	--	R	R	R	--	R	R	R	91%
Hernandez	DEM.	67%	R	R	R	R	W*	R	--	R	W*	W*	--	R	R	W	78%
Hill	DEM.	90%	W	R	R	R	R	--	--	R	--	R	--	R	R	R	88%
Huber	DEM.	56%	R	R	R	W*	W*	--	--	W*	--	--	--	R	R	W	54%
Huffman	DEM.	100%	R	R	R	R	R	--	R	R	--	--	--	R	R	R	100%
Jeffries	REP.	0%	W	W	W	W	W	--	--	W	--	--	--	W	W*	W	15%
Jones	DEM.	100%	R	R	R	R	R	R	--	R	R	--	--	R	R	R	99%
Knight	REP.	0%	W	W	W	W	W	--	--	W	--	--	--	W	W	W	12%
Lieu	DEM.	100%	R	R	--	R	R	--	--	R	--	--	--	R	R	R	89%
Logue	REP.	0%	W	W	W	W	W	--	--	W	--	--	--	W	W	W	17%
Bonnie Lowenthal	DEM.	100%	R	R	R	R	R	R	--	R	R	--	--	R	R	R	100%
Ma	DEM.	100%	R	R	R	R	R	--	--	R	--	R	--	R	R	R	94%
Mendoza	DEM.	89%	R	R	R	R	R	--	--	R	--	--	--	R	R	W*	83%
Miller	REP.	9%	W	W	W	W	W	--	W	R	--	--	W	W	W	W	15%
Monning	DEM.	100%	R	R	R	R	R	R	--	R	R	--	--	R	R	R	100%
Nava	DEM.	92%	R	R	R	R	R	R	--	W	R	R	--	R	R	R	96%
Nestande	REP.	20%	W	W	W	W	W	--	--	R	--	W	--	W	R	W	16%
Niello	REP.	10%	W	W	W	W	W	--	--	W	--	W	--	W	R	W	13%
Nielsen	REP.	18%	W	W	W	W	W	--	W	R	--	--	W	W	R	W	26%
Norby	REP.	0%	W	W	W	W	W	--	W	W	--	--	W	W	W	W	0%

Assembly Member	Party	2010 Percent	AB 482 8/31 Asm Floor	AB 2393 8/31 Asm Floor	AB 2578 6/2 Asm Floor	SB 657 8/26 Asm Floor	SB 797 7/1 Asm Floor	SB 810 6/29 Asm Health	SB 810 8/12 Asm Appr.	SB 933 8/12 Asm Floor	SB 1106 6/22 Asm Health	SB 1106 6/29 Asm. B., P., & C.P.	SB 1106 8/4 Asm Appr.	SB 1166 8/16 Asm Floor	SB 1268 8/23 Asm. Floor	SB 1275 8/30 Asm Floor	Cumulative Percent (2005-2010)
John A. Perez	DEM.	100%	R	R	R	R	R	--	--	R	--	--	--	R	R	R	96%
V. Manuel Perez	DEM.	73%	R	R	R	W*	R	R	--	W*	R	--	--	R	R	W	74%
Portantino	DEM.	89%	R	R	R	R	R	--	--	R	--	--	--	R	R	W*	88%
Ruskin	DEM.	100%	R	R	R	R	R	--	--	R	--	R	--	R	R	R	99%
Salas	DEM.	91%	R	R	R	R	R	R	--	R	R	--	--	R	R	W*	91%
Saldana	DEM.	89%	R	R	R	R	R	--	--	W*	--	--	--	R	R	R	97%
Silva	REP.	0%	W	W	W	W	W	W	--	W	--	--	--	W	W*	W	12%
Skinner	DEM.	100%	R	R	R	R	R	--	R	R	--	--	R	R	R	R	93%
Smyth	REP.	0%	W	W	W	W	W	W	--	W	W	W	--	W	W	W	12%
Solorio	DEM.	82%	R	R	R	R	W	--	R	R	--	--	R	R	R	W	73%
Audra Strickland	REP.	20%	W	W	--	W	W	W	--	W	W	--	--	R	R	W	20%
Swanson	DEM.	89%	R	R	R	R	R	--	--	R	--	--	--	R	R	W*	96%
Torlakson	DEM.	100%	R	R	R	R	R	--	R	R	--	--	R	R	R	R	92%
Torres	DEM.	56%	W*	R	R	W*	R	--	--	W*	--	--	--	R	R	W	75%
Torrico	DEM.	73%	R	R	R	R	W	--	R	W	--	--	R	R	R	W	86%
Tran	REP.	11%	W	W	W	W*	W	--	--	W	--	--	--	W	R	W	16%
Villines	REP.	22%	W	W	W	W	W	--	--	R	--	--	--	W	R	W	16%
Yamada	DEM.	89%	R	R	R	R	R	--	--	W	--	--	--	R	R	R	91%

Legend:
R = Right, voted for consumers
W* = Present, did not vote - same as voting against consumers
R* = Present, did not vote - same as voting in favor of consumers
-- = Excused absence, not a member of committee, or not a member of the Assembly or Senate at the time the vote was cast
W = Wrong, voted against consumers
Asm. Appr. = Assembly Appropriations Committee
Asm. B., P., & C.P = Assembly Business, Professions and Consumer Protection Committee

Senator	Party	2010 Percent	AB 482 8/26 Sen Floor	AB 1060 8/23 Sen Floor	AB 2393 8/30 Sen Floor	AB 2578 8/31 Sen Floor	SB 657 8/30 Sen Floor	SB 797 8/31 Sen Floor	SB 810 1/28 Sen Floor	SB 933 6/3 Sen Floor	SB 1106 4/22 Sen Floor	SB 1166 8/19 Sen Floor	SB 1212 6/3 Sen Floor	SB 1268 8/25 Sen Floor	SB 1275 6/3 Sen Floor	Cumulative Percent (2005-2010)
Aanestad	REP.	17%	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W*	--	R	W*	R	W*	33%
Alquist	DEM.	92%	R	R	R	R	R	R	--	R	R	R	R	W*	R	94%
Ashburn	REP.	23%	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	R	W	R	W	R	W	27%
Blakeslee	REP.	10% ⁱⁱⁱ	W	W	W	W	W	W	--	--	--	--	--	R	--	21%
Calderon	DEM.	31%	R	R	W	W	W*	W	R	W	W*	R	W	W*	W	43%
Cedillo	DEM.	92%	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	W	R	R	86%
Cogdill	REP.	0%	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	--	W	W	W	W	14%
Corbett	DEM.	100%	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	100%
Correa	DEM.	54%	W	R	W	W	R	R	W	R	R	R	W	R	W	52%
Cox	REP.	-- ^{iv}	--	--	--	--	--	--	W	--	--	--	--	--	--	21%
Denham	REP.	0%	W*	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W*	W	24%
DeSaulnier	DEM.	85%	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	W*	W*	R	94%
Ducheny	DEM.	100%	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	82%
Dutton	REP.	15%	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W*	W	R	W	R	W	17%
Emmerson	REP.	22% ^v	W	W	W	W	W	W	--	--	--	R	--	R	--	17%
Florez	DEM.	100%	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	83%
Hancock	DEM.	100%	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	99%
Harman	REP.	10%	W	--	W	W	W	W	W	W*	--	R	W*	--	W*	14%
Hollingsworth	REP.	0%	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W*	W	W	W*	--	W*	12%
Huff	REP.	15%	W	W	W	W	W	W	W*	W	R	W	W	R	W	15%
Kehoe	DEM.	92%	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	W*	R	R	92%
Leno	DEM.	100%	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	100%
Liu	DEM.	92%	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	W*	R	R	96%
Alan Lowenthal	DEM.	100%	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	96%
Maldonado	REP.	-- ^{vi}	--	--	--	--	--	--	W	--	W*	--	--	--	--	41%
Negrete McLeod	DEM.	77%	R	R	R	W	R	W	R	W	R	R	R	R	R	73%
Oropeza	DEM.	100%	--	--	--	--	--	--	R	R	R	--	R	--	R	88%
Padilla	DEM.	77%	R	R	R	W*	R	R	R	W	R	R	W*	R	R	82%
Pavley	DEM.	100%	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	96%
Price	DEM.	69%	R	R	R	W*	R	W	R	W*	R	R	W	R	R	86%
Romero	DEM.	100%	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	93%

ⁱⁱⁱ This percentage represents the cumulative percentage of Assembly and Senate votes this session.

^{iv} Senator Cox passed away on July 13, 2010 and was sick for much of the first half of the year. His one vote included in this scorecard does not provide a sufficient basis for calculating a percentage.

^v This percentage represents the cumulative percentage of Assembly and Senate votes this session.

^{vi} Senator Maldonado was sworn in as Lieutenant Governor on April 22, 2010. His two votes included in this scorecard do not provide a sufficient basis for calculating a percentage.

Senator	Party	2010 Percent	AB 482 8/26 Sen Floor	AB 1060 8/23 Sen Floor	AB 2393 8/30 Sen Floor	AB 2578 8/31 Sen Floor	SB 657 8/30 Sen Floor	SB 797 8/31 Sen Floor	SB 810 1/28 Sen Floor	SB 933 6/3 Sen Floor	SB 1106 4/22 Sen Floor	SB 1166 8/19 Sen Floor	SB 1212 6/3 Sen Floor	SB 1268 8/25 Sen Floor	SB 1275 6/3 Sen Floor	Cumulative Percent (2005-2010)
Runner	REP.	15%	W	W*	W	W	W	W	W	R	W*	R	W	W*	W	17%
Simitian	DEM.	100%	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	99%
Steinberg	DEM.	100%	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	96%
Tony Strickland	REP.	8%	W*	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	R	W	W	W	20%
Walters	REP.	0%	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	--	W	W	W	7%
Wiggins	DEM.	50%	--	--	--	--	--	--	R	W*	--	--	R	--	W*	95%
Wolk	DEM.	85%	W	R	R	W	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	92%
Wright	DEM.	54%	R	R	R	W	R	W	W*	W	R	R	W	R	W	64%
Wyland	REP.	23%	W	W	W	W	W	W	W	R	R	R	W	W	W	18%
Yee	DEM.	92%	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	W*	R	85%

Bill #/Author/CFC Position	Rated Asm Vote	Rated Senate Vote	Bill Description and Status	Status
AB 482 (Mendoza) Support	Floor	Floor	Would prohibit a prospective employer from obtaining a job applicant's credit report if the report is not germane to the job duties.	Vetoed
AB 1060 (De La Torre) Support	--	Floor	Would help reduce sales of alcoholic beverages to underage or intoxicated persons by requiring a cashier for an alcohol sales transaction in a retail store	Vetoed
AB 2393 (Ammiano) Sponsor	Floor	Floor	Would prohibit private for-profit post-secondary schools from claiming a successful job placement in an apprenticeable occupation or nursing unless the graduate obtains a job that meets the definitions established by state apprenticeship or nursing regulators.	Vetoed
AB 2578 (Jones) Support	Floor	Floor	Would subject proposed health insurance or HMO premium rate increases to review for approval or disapproval by state regulators.	Failed on Senate Floor
SB 657 (Steinberg) Sponsor	Floor	Floor	Would require large manufacturers and retailers to disclose their efforts to eliminate human trafficking and slave labor from their supply chain.	Signed into Law
SB 797 (Pavley) Support	Floor	Floor	Would prohibit the manufacture or sale for use by infants or toddlers of food containers that contain bisphenol A.	Failed on Senate Floor
SB 810 (Leno) Support	Health, Appr.	Floor	Would establish a universal government run single payer health insurance program.	Held in Assembly
SB 933 (Oropeza) Support	Floor	Floor	Would prohibit a retailer from charging a fee for purchases made with a debit card or pre-paid card.	Vetoed
SB 1106 (Yee) Support	Health, B., P. & C.P., Appr.	Floor	Would require sample prescription drugs dispensed to a patient at a doctor's office or medical clinic to be accompanied with the same written information regarding the drugs that would be provided by a pharmacist.	Held in Assembly
SB 1166 (Simitian) Support	Floor	Floor	Would require financial privacy security breach notices to inform potential victims of identity theft about the nature of the breach, and to include contact information for credit reporting agencies.	Vetoed
SB 1212 (Leno) Support	--	Floor	Would require retailers of cell phones to disclose the level of radiation emitted by a phone to potential purchasers of that phone.	Failed on Senate Floor
SB 1268 (Simitian) Support	Floor	Floor	Would prohibit a transportation agency from sharing with third parties personally identifiable information collected from a motorist's electronic toll paying device.	Signed into Law
SB 1275 (Leno) Support	Floor	Floor	Would require a lender to provide information regarding mortgage modification options to a mortgage holder prior to initiating a foreclosure proceeding.	Failed on Assembly Floor